



REQUEST FOR TENDER NO. C003018

RFT for Principal / Head Works Contractor – Hume Repository Northern Extension

Addendum No. 01 – 11 July 2024

The Tender Evaluation Committee (TEC) provides the following clarification in response to queries raised by prospective Tenderers/Respondents and to provide clarification to the RFT documentation issued.

01	<p>Question: <i>An extension of time has been requested.</i></p> <p>Answer: While there are strict timeframes associated with the project, the Library can extend the deadline of this RFT. The new closing date/time will be 11.59pm Wednesday 24th July 2024. Please note that no further requests for extensions will be considered.</p>
02	<p>Question: <i>Are you able to issue the Revit / IFC files for the structural drawings to assist with pricing?</i></p> <p>Answer: IFC files have been provided at Attachment B and is to be read in conjunction with Attachment C.</p>
03	<p>Question: <i>Landscaping - There do not appear to be any landscaping drawings in the tender set, however some landscaping is indicated on the architecturals, but the extent is unclear. Can you please confirm what landscaping works are required?</i></p> <p>Answer: Please refer to the Landscape Plan provided in the issued RFT documents (Drawing No. 12595320-A051) which details the extent of works required. Can confirm there are no additional landscaping works beyond what is detailed.</p>

04	<p>Question: <i>Structural Steel - Structural drawing S11 section notes identifies that the Structural Steel fabricators need to be certified NSSCS. Can you please confirm which category of SCA certification is required?</i></p> <p>Answer: Certification to category CC2 (construction category) is required as per STEEL note 3.</p>
05	<p>Question: <i>Lighting - On Electrical drawing E200_C there are 11x type W2 lights shown but the E002_C legend only shows type W1. Can you please confirm the specification of the W2 lights, or if these should be priced as W1.</i></p> <p>Answer: The W2 luminaires should be priced as Type W1's.</p>
06	<p>Question: <i>Wet Fire - The fire specification indicates the hazard class to be Light Hazard and the pipe sizes nominated on the drawings also indicate Light Hazard. However, AS2118.1-2017 requires high piled storage to be a category of High Hazard, which will require the sprinklers to be installed much closer together increasing the number of heads and range pipes and increasing the demand on the system. Please confirm which Hazard class we should price for in our tender.</i></p> <p>Answer: Refer Attachments D, E and F.</p>
07	<p>Question: <i>Will the Library accept Dropbox or WeTransfer links? Or does the submission have to be an email attachment?</i></p> <p>Answer: As per the RFT issued, the Library's preference is for the response to be sent via email with attachments in the format described (e.g. Microsoft Word, Excel, Adobe PDF).</p> <p>However, if Tenderers are unable to meet the 25mb file limit, arrangements can be made to accept documentation via Dropbox on a case-by-case basis. Please contact Lou Murrell (TEC Chair) via tenderbox@nla.gov.au at least 48 hours before the RFT closure date/time.</p>
08	<p>Question: <i>Will the resulting contract include a requirement for security from the contractor?</i></p> <p>Answer: Yes, two numbered unconditional bank guarantees for each separable portion (or, if no separable portions, the entirety of the works) in the form of an unconditional bank guarantee from an Australian financial institution. Each bank guarantee to be for 2.5% of the contract sum referable to that separable portion (or, if no separable portions, the entire contract sum).</p>

09	Clarification: Industry Briefing Presentation deck is provided for information/reference. Refer Attachment A .
10	Clarification: Confirmation that a site tour for sub-contractors was conducted at Hume Repository on Wednesday 10 July at 3pm.
11	<p>Clarification: RFT Part B, Paragraph 6.1 (Table) – In accordance with Paragraph 6.1 (Table – Technical Worth Assessment), the Library will evaluate the listed criteria in order of priority (<i>with (a) being more important than (b) and so on</i>).</p> <p>To clarify, the specific weightings for each criterion are as follows:</p> <p><i>Technical worth assessment:</i></p> <p>(a) <i>whether the Tenderer has a proper understanding of the matters involved and offers a sound approach to the Services required;</i></p> <p>Weighting = 25%</p> <p>(b) <i>the Tenderer's knowledge, proven expertise, demonstrated responsiveness and reliability in delivering the Services, including past experience;</i></p> <p>Weighting = 20%</p> <p>(c) <i>experience and qualifications of the Tenderer's key personnel;</i></p> <p>Weighting = 25%</p> <p>(d) <i>strategic fit;</i></p> <p>Weighting = 20%</p> <p>(e) <i>the risks and benefits to the Library which flow from the extent of the Tenderer's compliance with the draft Contract; and</i></p> <p>Weighting = 5%</p> <p>(f) <i>the extent the Tenderer offers value added services beyond the service levels and standards of performance within the Statement of Requirement.</i></p> <p>Weighting = 5%</p> <p>(g) <i>the Tenderer's past performance and/or demonstrated commitment in relation to increasing Indigenous participation including, where relevant, by having regard to the Tenderer's past compliance with any mandatory minimum requirements; and the extent to which the Tenderer's proposed indigenous Participation Plan will meet the mandatory minimum requirements.</i></p> <p>Unweighted.</p>

Attachments:

- A. Industry Brief Presentation deck.
- B. 12595320 NLA Hume Repository Ext_STR-R22.ifc
- C. 12595320 – GHD Disclaimer.pdf
- D. 20240711 – NLA Repository Transmittal (updated)
- E. 12595320-F100-RB.pdf
- F. 12595320-F002-RB.pdf